



# Requirements Discussion/Survey

NIMA-Standards

POC Bernie Brower

NSES-Kodak

[bernard.brower@kodak.com](mailto:bernard.brower@kodak.com)

+1 585 253-5293



# Introduction

- NIMA would like to ensure that the JPEG 2000 standard will meet the NSGI architecture requirements (and each of the components within).
  - Understand the current and future requirements
  - Understand the shortfalls of today's compression and architecture standards
- JPEG 2000 is more than just a new compression or a replacement compression, it is a change in how images are stored, processed, transmitted and displayed
  - Adjust the parameters of the flexible JPEG 2000 standard to meet the current and future requirements for compression and architecture requirements
- JPEG 2000 can improve many aspects of the NSGI architecture to meet or exceed current and future requirements



# First Questions

- What are your biggest issues operationally?



# Second Questions

- What features/capabilities are driving your acquisitions?



# Introduction

- Review the importance of the requirement and capability
  - Meeting the requirements
  - Importance of improving
  - Willingness to give up capability
- Review of requirements and capabilities
  - Compression quality versus compression ratio
  - Compression algorithm selection
  - Processing data (image or compressed data)
  - Speed of fulfillment
  - Tools



# Ranking of issues

- Meeting today's requirements
  - Not a requirement, exceeding, meeting, sometimes not meeting, not meeting, future requirement
- Importance on improving this
  - Number one, high, medium, low, not at all
- Willingness to sacrifice current capability/requirements
  - Not willing, little willing (depending on what is gained), willing



# Meeting Operational Requirements

## Requirements

- Compression versus Image quality
  - Image Quality
  - Image size for storage and transmission
  - Bandwidth constraints (low bit rate transmission)
  - Too many choices of quality/bit rate
  - Not enough choices of quality/bit rate
  - Progressive transmission
- Compression selection
  - Too many compression algorithms
  - Too many choices (quality versus bit rate)
  - Does not compress my data type (complex, MSI, HIS, DTED, LIDAR, . . .)
  - Matching compression precision with image precision



# Meeting Operational Requirements

## Requirements

- Processing
  - Need to decompress before processing for any application
  - RRDS Generation
  - Storage of RRDS files
  - Transmission of RRDS files
  - Speed of chipping data
  - Speed of changing compression algorithm
  - Speed of change compression ratio/quality
  - Generation of overview image
  - Speed of display
  - Speed of zoom, scroll, interactions
  - Enhancement of data (MTFC, DRA, TTC)



# Meeting Operational Requirements

## Requirements

- Speed of fulfillment of data required
  - Interactivness with imagery to select required data
  - Speed of fulfillment of data once selected
  - Ease with selection of data
- Tools
  - Commercial software availability
  - Interactiveness with commercial applications (Word, PowerPoint, Photoshop, PDF, . . . )
  - Ease of use of tools for compression
  - Free viewer of data
  - Functional tools for applications (exploitation, broad area search, simple display)
  - Tools have too many options for users (need simple tools)



# Current Requirements Survey



# Requirements Survey: Input Data

- Data Type
  - Single band
    - Visible
    - SAR
    - IR
  - Multiple Band
    - Color
    - Multispectral (4 – 16)
- Data bit depth
  - 8
  - 12
  - Other (11)
- Image size limitation
  - \_\_\_\_\_
- Data Compression
  - 4.3 DPCM
  - 1.3 or 2.3 DCT
  - NITFS JPEG 8 bit
  - NITFS JPEG 12 bit
  - JPEG
  - JPEG 2000
  - No compression
  - Other: \_\_\_\_\_
  - Other: \_\_\_\_\_
- Processed data
  - RRDS



# Requirements Survey: Local Processing

- Display data
  - Decompression
  - Enhancements
    - DRA
    - MTFC
    - TTC
  - RRDS
  - Spatial image chipping
  - RRDS generation
  - Change compression algorithm
  - Change compression ratio
  - Change bit depth
  - Enhancements
  - Thumbnail generation
  - Other: \_\_\_\_\_
  - Other: \_\_\_\_\_



# Requirements Survey: Throughput

- Clients
  - \_\_\_\_\_
  - \_\_\_\_\_
  - \_\_\_\_\_
- Requests/day
  - Average: \_\_\_\_\_
  - Peak: \_\_\_\_\_
- Transfer Time
  - Average: \_\_\_\_\_
  - Worst: \_\_\_\_\_
- Simultaneous TCP/IP Transfers
  - Average: \_\_\_\_\_
  - Peak: \_\_\_\_\_
- Online Storage
  - \_\_\_\_\_



# Requirements Survey: File Conversions

## OUTPUT

INPUT	File Formats	TFRD 1.3 DCT	TFRD 2.3 DCT	TFRD 4.3 DPCM	JPEG 8-bit	JPEG 12-bit	JPEG Lossless	NIMA Method 4	Uncompressed NITF	Uncompressed TIFF 6.0	Uncompressed JFIF	Uncompressed GIF	Uncompressed Sun Raster
	TFRD 1.3 DCT												
	TFRD 2.3 DCT												
	TFRD 4.3 DPCM												
	JPEG 8-bit												
	JPEG 12-bit												
	JPEG Lossless												
	NIMA Method 4												
	Uncompressed NITF												
	Uncompressed TIFF 6.0												
	Uncompressed JFIF												
	Uncompressed GIF												
	Uncompressed Sun Raster												



# Requirements Survey: Output Data

- Data bit depth
  - 8
  - 12
  - Other
- Processed data
  - Enhancements
    - DRA
    - MTFC
  - RRDS
- Image products
  - General product
  - MTI
  - ATR/Classification
- Image dimensions
  - Spatial Chip
  - Resolution change
  - Quality Change
- Data Compression
  - 4.3 DPCM
  - 1.3 or 2.3 DCT
  - NITFS JPEG (8 bit, 12 bit)
  - JPEG
  - JPEG 2000
  - No compression